

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,322	04/20/2004	Kazuyuki Fukuhara	114605.01	4047
25944 7	590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			LO, WEILUN	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,322	FUKUHARA, KAZUYUKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Weilun Lo	3747			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- ply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u>□</u> 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre he oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document. Certified copies of the priority document. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document. application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No. <u>10/382,575</u> . red in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takuya (JP 07-224960). Takuya shows an armature and a driving device and method for the armature as claimed. Note particularly Figure 1b, the armature 4 and communicating holes (45, 45') that allow fluid to flow through at an angle to the axis of the armature to create a rotating torque and thus spin the armature to perform the same function of reducing uneven wear of the armature and related components thereto. It is noted that the armature is actuated/de-actuated by imparting/removing a current ("changes an amount of current" as claimed) to the electromagnetic solenoid 9.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re*

Application/Control Number: 10/827,322

Art Unit: 3747

Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-15, respectively, of copending Application No. 10/382,575. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the claimed elements are claimed in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weilun Lo whose telephone number is 703-308-1957. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM TO 7:00PM Tu-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax

Art Unit: 3747

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Weilun Lo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747